POLICY ADVISOR



Employee Performance Review

EMPLOYEE INFORMATION							
Name LESLI	E KING	Employee ID	Employee ID				
Job Title POLICY ADVISOR – Start DATE:				Date: January 2	Date: January 28, 2022		
Department ADMIN				Manager Irene Linklater Executive Director			
Review Period Probation							
RATINGS							
RATINGS							
		1 = Poor	2 = Fair	3 = Satisfactory	4 = Good	5 = Excellent	
Job Knowled	ge		Х				
Comments	The Policy Advisor Job Description has clear set of requirements for Core Competencies, Job Duties, Requirements – You have demonstrated a fair to satisfactory level of understanding related to the application of NALSC expectations to this position.						
Work Quality	1			Х			
Comments	The Job Knowledge is directly related to Work Quality. Some of the assignments are good but most are fair. Some work is incomplete after several months example: Hybrid Workplace research, recommendation, proposed structure, Timmins Bail Bed possible structure and reporting framework that can be developed without an onsite visit specifically to Timmins. These are required to present to Board for review and decision.						
Attendance/	Punctuality				Х		
<i>Comments</i> Attendance is good in accordance with Request Leaves provided for Time Sheet records in advance.							
Initiative			Х				
Comments	Initiative to Job Duties is primarily Fair – that is specific to alignment to job duties for NALSC requirements. Assignments are provided by Supervisor. Independent identification of legislative and justice/legal matters that impact NALSC is not pursued. Most files assigned take a longer time and has difficulty in being able to prioritize multiple concurrent and competing priorities. Policy that impacts a Board Governance function must be presented for Board decision versus Administrative management implements at operational policy level.						
Communicat	ion/Listening Skills			Х			
Comments	Good verbal skills although not observed to do presentations on prepared research or analysis reports. Writing skill is good although there have been limited independent work completed. The Annual Report Meeting summary of January 2021 AGM is good and you did research and find the UTube site on these proceedings to prepare the draft minute to be presented by Chair at 2022 AGMs.						
Dependabilit	у		Х				
Comments	The work assigned has not been to conduct complex research a						

Overall Rating *(average the rating numbers above)* Fair – 2 is not a substance level (this does not meet a successful job performance)

EVALUATION

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	An example of research limitations: Leslie noted he does not have Excel expertise and would need to take a course. This task is part of job requirement and relates to preparing budgets, including those for funding proposals of justice programs. Excel tools for self learning are available on Website or UTube for example. More complex calculations or minimal courses to understanding finance budget development to more regular use of Excel is available for non-finance workers in any organization is considered, if needed.
	As your Supervisor – I am not satisfied with your work performance and my decision is you have not met the Policy Advisor job requirements.
	I am not satisfied that a course or two on Policy Advisor expertise will give you the skill sets required by NALSC.
	I have made a decision that your position will be terminated due to NALSC operational requirements in two weeks time.
GOALS	

(as agreed upon by employee and manager)

VERIFICATION OF REVIEW

By signing this form, you confirm that you have discussed this review in detail with your supervisor. Signing this form does not necessarily indicate that you agree with this evaluation.

Employee Signature

EMPLOYEE INFORMATION				
Name LESLIE KING	Employee ID			
Job Title POLICY ADVISOR – Start DATE:	Date: January 28, 2022			
Department ADMIN	Manager Irene Linklater Executive Director			
Review Period Probation				
Manager Signature Irene Linklater	Date January 28, 2022			